UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN MICHIGAN FILED (I)

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan

Debtor

2016 JUN - 8 A 10: 1) & Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846
Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

...S. BANKRUPTCY COURTChapter 9
F.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT

CLAIMANT'S RESPONSE TO DEBTOR'S OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CHANGES

George A. Kaw ("Claimant") hereby responds to the Debtor's Objection to Certain Claims filed by Claimant. In support hereof, Claimant respectfully states the following:

- 1. During the bankruptcy proceedings Claimant properly filed his Proof of Claim with the Court.
- 2. In the Proof of Claim, Claimant assets a claim against the Debtor in the sum of \$xxx,805.40 as a result of non-payment of employee wages due to his for employment.
- 3. In the Objection, the Debtor seeks to disallow the Proof of Claims to zero claiming that there is no basis for the Debtor to have liability.
- 4. For the reasons set forth in detail below, Claimant requests that the Court overrule the Objection to her claim and allow the claim in the amount set forth in his Proof of Claim.

Factual Background

- 5. During the 2012, the Claimant was an employee of the Planning and Development Department for the City of Detroit. While an employee of this department his salary was paid for with federal grant funds, i.e. Community Development block Grant funds. At no time was his salary paid using the Debtor's General Fund dollars.
- 6. Beginning in 2012, the Debtor instituted a 10% budget cut instituted through

furlough days in order to save General Fund dollars. At no time did Claimant's salary or benefits impact the General Fund.

7. The grant funds retained by the Debtor through the implementation of the

furlough days is still being retained by the Debtor and is in danger of being recaptured by the

federal government.

8. Because the Claimant's salary is funded by grant funds, it had no impact on the

City's bankruptcy.

9. The Debtor bases its Objection on the implementation of the Debtor's CETs. This

has no relation to CETs.

10. The Objection is grounded on the belief that there is no valid basis for liability of

the City, Liability exists because cuts sustained by Claimant for no relation to the General Fund

therefore no relation to the bankruptcy.

11. Because the claims have relation to the bankruptcy, they the claims should be

allowed to proceed.

WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, Claimant, Anthony Derrick Smith

Respectfully request that the Court overrule the Objection to Claimant's claim and allow such

claim in full in

the amounts provided in Claimant's Proof of Claim, and grant such other relief as the Court

deems just and equitable.

Date: June 3, 2016

Detroit, Michigan

Respectfully submitted,

1843 Delta Dr.

Troy, MI 48085

(248) 525-8802

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

IN RE: CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN Debtor.	CASE NO: 13-53846 CHAPTER: 9
CERTIFICAT	TE OF SERVICE
I hereby certify that onJUNE 8, 2016	(date of mailing), I served
copies as follows:	
Document(s) served: Claimant's Response to Debtor's 44	th Omnibus Objection to Certain Changes
 Served upon [name and address of each per Marc N. Swanson 150 W. Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, MI 48226 313-496-7591 swansonm@millercanfield.com By First Class Mail. 	FILED (I) 2016 JUN -8 A 10: 08 .S. BANKRUPTCY COURT E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT
Dated: June 8, 2016	Learge Q. Kaw (Signature) Print Name: CFEORGE A. KAW